

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

which the philosopher describes the demoralizing effect of pagan poetry upon pagan minds does not seem just the kind of thing to elicit unfavor able comment from a Christian reader.

It is not improbable that Plato wrote  $\pi \hat{\omega}_{S}$  of  $\epsilon i$ . This phrase, used parenthetically, is a lively colloquial equivalent for σφόδρα, λίαν, and may be rendered "you can't imagine how much." The examples are best collected by Blaydes, note on Acharnians 12; see also, however, Starkie, Crit. App. on Wasps 1428. πως δοκείς in the same sense is more frequent than  $\pi \hat{\omega}_{S}$  o' $\epsilon i$ , chiefly because of its use in the trimeters of the comic poets and of Euripides. The phrase may also be varied by using instead of πωs some interrogative of size and quantity, as πόσος (cf. Ar. Eccl. 399), πηλίκος (Alciphr. iv. 13. 17 Schepers). The examples that may be most conveniently compared with our passage are Eur. Hec. 1160, Heracl. 832, Hipp. 446, I. A. 1590, Ar. Ach. 12, Clouds 881, Plut. 742, Frogs 54, Luc. Fug. 19, Nekyom. 14. All of these have πως δοκείς except Ar. Frogs 54, and the two cases in Lucian, which have πως οἴει. The passage in the Frogs, with its reference to strong emotion, is enough like ours to justify quoting it: πόθος την καρδίαν ἐπάταξε πῶς οἶει σφόδρα. Plato makes use of this idiom in a well-known passage of the Symposium, 216 D: ἔνδοθεν δὲ ἀνοιχθεὶς πόσης οἴεσθε γέμει, ὧ ἄνδρες συμπόται, σωφροσύνης; so far as I know there is no other example in Plato; but a tendency on the author's part to use of a alone parenthetically had been long since remarked; cf. Heindorf on Theaet. 147 A.

Assuming that  $\pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$  oie was the original reading, it is probable that corruption began with the first word, the substitution of the relative adverb for the interrogative being a natural error; cf. Alciphr. loc. cit., where  $\pi\eta\lambda$ ikal δοκεῖς θριδακῖναι is Hercher's correction for ἡλίκαι of all the MSS. The fact that  $\pi$ οιεῖ immediately precedes may also have something to do with the loss of  $\pi$ . When the idiom had once been disguised and misunderstood, οἴει may have been purposely changed to the third person in an attempt to conform it to the context. In Theophr. Char. 8, there is an example of this idiom,  $\pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$  οἴεσθε  $\pi$ ιθαν $\hat{\omega}_{S}$  σχετλιάζει λέγων, which has been needlessly abandoned by the Leipzig editors as hopelessly corrupt; and it is curious that certain early critics (Needham, Pauw), in their attempts to restore the text, offered  $\hat{\omega}_{S}$  οἴεσαι or  $\hat{\omega}_{S}$  οἴεσθαι — that is, just such a botch as now stands in the best MSS of the Republic.

CAMPBELL BONNER

University of Michigan

## EMENDATIONS OF THEMISTIUS' PARAPHRASE OF ARISTOTLE'S PHYSICS

I have not thought it worth while to discuss manuscript-readings or to support these emendations by extended argument. They mostly restore what seems the necessary sense by very slight changes in text or punctuation. The majority are I think certain. Some may be only plausible or possible.<sup>1</sup>

Schenkl 25. 20: σύνθετον γάρ έστι τὸ ὕδωρ ἔκ τε τοῦ ὑπομένοντος καὶ τοῦ ἀντικειμένου τῷ εἴδει τῷ ἐνυπάρχοντι μέλλοντος ἀέρος γενήσεσθαι. We must read τῷ ἐνυπάρχειν (or ἐνυπάρξειν) μέλλοντι ἀέρος γενομένου. Cf. 28. 7 σύνθετον ἦν ἔκ τε τοῦ ὑποκειμένου καὶ τοῦ ἀντικειμένου τῷ ἐσομένῳ.

39. 1: τὸ εἶδος ἃν εἴη ἡ φύσις. εἰ δὲ οὐχ ὡς σχῆμα οὐδὲ ὡς εὐειδὲς ἐλέγομεν, etc. Read φύσις, εἴ γε . . . . λέγομεν.

The meaning is that form is  $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota s$  provided we do not take form in the sense of physical shape.

- 40. 2: οὖτως οὖν καὶ τὸ φυόμενον ἡνίκα φύεσθαι λέγεις, ἐπειδὴ εἰς φύσιν ἔρχεται φύεσθαι λέγεις · ἐπειδὴ καὶ οὖκ ἀπὸ φύσεως μέν, ἔρχεται δὲ ἐπὶ τὸ εἶδος, ὥστε καὶ οὖτως τὸ εἶδος φύσις. Read καὶ οὖκ ἐπειδὴ ἀπὸ φύσεως. ἔρχεται δὲ (autem), etc. See Diels  $Simplic.\ Phys.\ 279.\ 6: ἐπειδὴ εἰς φύσιν ἔρχεται, λέγεται φύεσθαι, ἀλλ' οὖχ ὅτι ἀπὸ φύσεως. ἔρχεται δὲ ἐπὶ τὸ εἶδος · τὸ εἶδος ἄρα ἡ φύσις.$
- 93. 24: οὐ γὰρ παντός ἐστιν μεῖζον, οἷον τοῦ οὐρανοῦ, ἀλλ' ἐκείνου δυνατοῦ μόνον, ὁ τὴν αὖξησιν εἶχεν, etc. Read δυνατὸν (sc. εἶναι μεῖζον).
- 97. 31: ἀλλ' ὧσπερ οὐδὲν κωλύει πλάτει μήκει κεχρῆσθαι καὶ ἀδιαστάτφ σημείφ. Read ἀπλατεῖ μήκει. Cf. Sext. Empir. 210. 23, Bekker, ἀπλατὲς μῆκος; Lucian Hermotim. 74.
- 98. 4: οὐ γὰρ φυσικόν ἐστι μῆκος ἄπαν τὸ ὁ δεῖται καὶ φύσει τόπου, ἀλλὰ μαθηματικόν, οῦ τόπος ἡ τοῦ θεωροῦντος διάνοια. Read ὁ πάντως δεῖται, etc.
  - 122. 29: οὖτω μὲν οὖν ἐφεξῆς ἡμῖν ἐστιν ὁ λόγος. Read οὖτος.
  - 125. 25: καὶ τοῦτο δὲ αὐτῶν παριᾶσιν. ὅμως, etc. Read παριεῖσι, ὅμως, etc.
  - 132. 17: ἴδωμεν δὲ καὶ. Read κἐι.
  - 133. 9: omit δè. 11: for ταῦτα read ταὐτὰ. Cf. Simplic. 679. 13.
  - 133. 24: αὐλητῶν ἢ σαλπίγγων. Read σαλπιγκτῶν.
  - 133. 29: εἰ σῶμα ἔτερον ἐκβιάσαιο. Read ἐμβιάσαιο.
- 134. 31: ἀδύνατον ἄρα καὶ σῶμα ἐν κενῷ εἶναι · ὅγκον μὲν γὰρ ἐν ὅγκῳ ποιεῖ τὸ σῶμα ἐν κενῷ, ποῖον δὲ σῶμα ἐν σώματι ὅγκον ἐν ὅγκῳ; The last clause is meaningless. The argument should run: There is no void because matter in void means matter in matter. For matter in void = bulk in bulk. And (since, as explained above, it is only the bulk and not the secondary qualities that prevent two portions of matter from occupying the same place) bulk in bulk is equivalent to matter in matter. We must therefore read: ποιεῖ δὲ σῶμα ἐν σώματι ὅγκος ἐν ὅγκῳ, or perhaps, ποιον (for ποῖον) δὲ σῶμα, etc.
- 163. 1: ὅπερ ἡμετέρα ἐπίνοια καὶ θεωρία τοῦ ἐν τοῖς διαφέρουσιν ὁμοίως. Read ὁμοίου. Cf. An. Post. Spengel, p. 101. 3: ἡ τοῦ ὁμοίου θεωρία τε καὶ διάκρισις.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. Classical Review, Vol. X, p. 328; Classical Philology, Vol. I, p. 81.

- 181. 7: καὶ γάρ τινι περιττεύει μέρει τοῦ ἐσχάτου τούτου τὰ ἀμερή συντιθέμενα. Read καὶ γὰρ τίνι . . . . ;
- 197. 2: λέγω δὲ νῦν ἀθρόως οὖχ ὡς καθόλου τὰ μόρια μόνον, etc. Read καθ' δλα and μόνον or ἄμα. Cf. 192. 3 and 192. 18: ἀλλοιοῖτο ἃν ἄμα καθ' δλα τὰ μέρη.
- 211. 3: εἰ γὰρ τῶν μὲν οἶόν τε ὄντων κινεῖσθαι τῶν δὲ οἶόν τε ὄντων κινεῖν. Read οἴων τε ὄντων.
- 211. 10: ὅ τι δ' ἄν τούτων ὑπόθη. Read with Simplicius (1128. 28), ὑποτεθ $\hat{\eta}$ .
- 211. 15: εἴπερ οὖν, ἴνα τῆς πρώτης ἄρξηται κινήσεως, προτέρας κινήσεως δεῖται ταῦτα, ὑπομένει καὶ οὖτος ὁ λόγος. Read ταὖτὰ ὑπομένει καὶ οὖτως.

There are many other passages in which a closer consideration of the thought would alter either the text or the punctuation. But the illustrations given are perhaps sufficient.

PAUL SHOREY